

A generalization of Wolstenholme's Theorem

Yali Su¹ Jiming Yang² and Shuying Li³

¹*School of Information Technology and Engineering, Yuxi Normal University, Yuxi, Yunnan, China- 653100.*

²*School of Science, Yuxi Normal University, Yuxi, Yunnan, China- 653100.*

³*College of Resource and Environment, Yuxi Normal University, Yuxi, Yunnan, China- 653100.*

yxshuyali@yahoo.com.cn

Abstract

A generalization of Wolstenholme's Theorem is given.

Keywords: Wolstenholme's Theorem; prime number; residue

Introduction

In number theory, there is a well-known theorem about prime numbers, it is Wolstenholme Theorem. Let

prime number $p > 3$, Let $\frac{1}{s}$ satisfy:

$$ss^* \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$$

s^* is an integer, then

$$1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{1}{p-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}. \quad (1)$$

This theorem lead to a number of Chinese and foreign scholars to study it with a strong interest. It has been generalized to many forms.

Zhang shusheng(1989) pointed out generalization of Wolstenholme's Theorem of the following Proposition 1.

Proposition 1 Let prime number $p > 3$, Let $\frac{1}{s}$ satisfy:

$$ss^* \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$$

s^* is an integer, then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{tp+k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2} \quad (2)$$

In the expressions, t is any integer.

Chen Keyin(2005) pointed out the following Proposition 2, which is equivalent with the theorem of Wolstenholme.

Proposition 2 Let prime number $p > 3$, then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} k^{p(p-1)-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}. \quad (3)$$

At the same time, Chen Keyin also made the promotion of Proposition 2 and obtain the following Proposition 3.

Proposition 3 Let prime number $p > 3$, n is an odd number greater than 1, $p-1$ is not divisible by $n-1$, t is any integer, then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} (tp+k)^n \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}. \quad (4)$$

Zeng denggao(2004) pointed out generalization of Wolstenholme's Theorem, it is the following Proposition 4.

Proposition 4 p is a prime number

$$p > 3, \nu, t_0, t_k \in \mathbb{Z} (1 \leq k \leq p-1)$$

$$0 \leq 2\nu < p-3, (t_0, p) = 1$$

$$t_1 + t_{p-1} = t_2 + t_{p-2} = \dots = t_{\frac{p-1}{2}} + t_{\frac{p-1}{2}}$$

s^* is an integer and Let s^* satisfy:

$$ss^* \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}, \text{ then}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \overline{(t_k p + kt_0)^{2\nu+1}} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}. \quad (5)$$

Hong Shaofang(2007) mentioned generalization of Wolstenholme's Theorem, it is the following Proposition 5.

Proposition 5 Let m and n is any integer, $m \geq 0, n \geq 1, \langle n \rangle = \{1, \dots, n\}$. p_1, \dots, p_n are different prime numbers and all greater than 3, then

$$\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ \forall i \in \langle n \rangle, (j, p_i)=1}}^{p_1 \cdots p_n} \frac{1}{mp_1 \cdots p_n + j} \quad (6)$$

In the expressions, molecules of fraction can be divisible by $p_1^2 \cdots p_n^2$.

New generalization of Wolstenholme's Theorem

This paper describes another generalized form of Wolstenholme's Theorem, It is the following theorem.

Theorem Let prime number $p > 3$, t is any integer, r is a non-negative integer, $p^r | 2t + 1$. Let $\frac{1}{s}$ satisfy:

$$ss^* \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{r+2}}$$

s^* is an integer, then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{tp + k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{r+2}}. \quad (7)$$

when $t = 0, r = 0$, it is Wolstenholme theorem.

Proof

Lemma Let prime number $p > 3$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i \\ j \neq p-i}}^{p-1} j \equiv 0 \pmod{p}. \quad (8)$$

Proof (1) multiplied by $(p-1)!$ on both sides, can be get:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{p-1} j \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}. \quad (9)$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{p-1} j &= \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left[\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{p-1} j + \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq p-i}}^{p-1} j \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left[(p-i) \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i \\ j \neq p-i}}^{p-1} j + i \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i \\ j \neq p-i}}^{p-1} j \right] = p \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i \\ j \neq p-i}}^{p-1} j. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

By (9), (10), we can get (8) holds.

Easy to obtain (11) from the proof of the theorem.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{p-1} (tp + j) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left[\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{p-1} (tp + j) + \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq p-i}}^{p-1} (tp + j) \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left[(tp + p - i) \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i \\ j \neq p-i}}^{p-1} (tp + j) + (tp + i) \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i \\ j \neq p-i}}^{p-1} (tp + j) \right] \quad (11) \\ &= p(2t + 1) \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i \\ j \neq p-i}}^{p-1} (tp + j). \end{aligned}$$

Obtained (12) by the Lemma.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i \\ j \neq p-i}}^{p-1} (tp + j) \\ \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i \\ j \neq p-i}}^{p-1} j \equiv 0 \pmod{p}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

From the known conditions $p^r | 2t + 1$, (11) and (12), we can get:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{p-1} (tp + j) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{r+2}}. \quad (13)$$

By (13), can be get:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{p-1} (tp + j) \right) \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} (tp + i)^* &= \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} (tp + i)^* \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} (tp + j) \\ &\equiv \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{p-1} (tp + j) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{r+2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

But $\left(\prod_{j=1}^{p-1} (tp + j), p^{r+2} \right) = 1$, by (14), we can

get:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} (tp + i)^* \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{r+2}}. \quad (15)$$

By (15), we can get (7) holds.



References

1. Bayat MA (1997) Generalization of Wolstenholme's Theorem, *Amer. Math. Monthly*. pp: 557-560.
2. Chen Keyin (2005) Another equivalent form of Theorem Wolstenholme. *Math. in Practice & Theory*.3, 71-74.
3. Hardy GH and Wright EM (1960) An introduction to the Number theory.4th ed, *Oxford Univ.Press, London*. pp:156-157.
4. Hong Shaofang (2007) A Generalization of Wolstenholme's Theorem. *J. South China Normal Univ.* 2, 24-28.
5. Hua Luogen (1979) Introduction to Number Theory. *Sci. Press, Beijing, China*. pp:187-189.
6. Ke shao, Sun qi (2001) Number theory lecture notes. *Higher Edu. Press, Beijing, China*. 2, 230-241.
7. Sun qi & Hong shaofang (1999) p-adic proof and Generalization of Wolstenholme's Theorem. *J. Sichuan Uni.* 5, 840-844.
8. Zeng denggao (2004) A Generalization of Wolstenholme's Theorem, *Math. in Practice &Theory*. 3, 89-91.
9. Zhang shushing (1989) A Generalization of number theory theorem, *Math. in Practice &Theory*. 1, 86-89.