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Abstract

Objective: The business model which is developed is used by the organizations in different ways. For this, the model should be flexible enough to satisfy the set of requirements and there should be no ambiguity. Main objective is to provide flexibility in ABPMN. Methodology: Consider a case study of admission process. On this case study we applied the concepts of ABPMN and designed the model for admission process and after this decomposed the model. On this decomposition model applied the concepts of graphical notations of ABPMN. Findings: The model which is developed flexible enough and there is no ambiguity. Also developed the Process Development Life Cycle which was motivated. In PDLC, there are three stages Requirement Stage, Design Stage, Construction Stage. We have discussed all the stages and will apply the process development life cycle in the model prepared for the admission process with no ambiguity. Application: Flexibility in modeling the business processes reduces the complexity, interdependency, helps in easy maintenance and also easy to update the system without affecting the process model.
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1. Introduction

The business processes are defined as a set of tasks and activities which add value to the input to produce the specific output. In extended the business process definition by adding the concept of role, which states that business process are set of linked activities which will be the business objective in order to achieve the roles and relationships specified by the organization. Modeling the business processes gives the abstract representation of the business processes. Due to the globalization and to meet the competition, the organization wants to reduce the time spent to produce the goods, cost, increase the speed and maximize the profit. In order to achieve all they need to model the business processes. BPM is the graphical representation of the current and future processes of an enterprise in order to improve the efficiency and quality, to provide better understanding to all stakeholders, simplify the complexity and to apply reengineering by modifying the existing model irrespective if creating it from the scratch. Different Business Process Modeling techniques are Object Oriented Model (OOM), Unified Modeling Language (UML), Data Flow Diagram (DFD), Petri nets, Role Activity Diagram (RAD), Flow Chart and Integration Definition for Function Modeling (IDEF) and BPMN. Comparative Difference is provided by and the BPMN provides all the process perspectives – functional, informational, behavioral and organizational which are defined by Curtlis in. BPM can be represented in BPMN and they contain the guidelines for transforming BPMN into BPEL.

The paper is structured as follows. In next section, we will discuss about related work. Thereafter, in Section 3 will discuss the concept of flexibility and in Section 4 proposing the PDLC. Section 5 discusses about the conclusion and future work.

Process variant is widely used in different research projects. Several researches have been one for managing the variation of business processes. The objective of all the approaches is to reduce the redundancy, manage
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the business processes, composition of new model. With
the help of this able to upgrade the flexibility and reus-
ability. Configurable event process chains (C-Epcs)\textsuperscript{3},
helps to generate the single process model for the entire
family. This model is called the reference model. In this
nodes and EPC functions, are used to indicate that they
are mandatory or optional. It is difficult to understand
from the non technical persons, decomposition of the
processes hierarchically is not possible and variation in
the roles and objects are associated with the tasks at the
meta model. In\textsuperscript{2} presented the approach in which the
multiple processes are aggregated into single model using
aggregated EPC (aEPC). In this, the labels are used for
the annotations which help to identify the relevant pro-
cess models. The main objective\textsuperscript{4} of Provop is to apply
the adjustment points (modify, delete, insert) which helps
to make the variability explicit. In\textsuperscript{5} proposed the approach
which supports the dynamic changes and also support the
flexibility in order to improve the variation in the business
processes. It helps to search and retrieve the processes.
In\textsuperscript{6} identified and compares the notations of the business
processes. However, they identified that there are lot of
languages which support the configurable feature for the
business processes but have less tools to analyze them.

2. Flexibility in ABPMN

In our earlier paper\textsuperscript{11}, we proposed the guidelines for
bridging the gap between the business models and busi-
ness process models by introducing the third layer in
between these two and named as abstract layer. For this
we developed the abstract business model by providing
the meta- model. Meta model in Figure 1 provides the
functionality and the dependencies between them. Then,
these notations are translated into BPMN. By doing this
we have reduced the gap and applied the same concept by
referring the case study for SAP Module.

In\textsuperscript{12}, we provided the security in ABPMN. Once, the
ABPMN is created for the business process model. Now,
main concern was to provide the security and for this we
have discussed the measures and models for providing
the security in ABPMN is shown in Table 1.

When the business process model was developed
using the proposed representation system ABPMN, there
is a need to design the Flexible Process Models. Every day
the customer changes the requirement depending on the
needs so the business processes changed rapidly. To pro-
vide the flexibility is the major concern. Flexibility means
which can adopt changes easily. When the model is recon-
figured, then it should adopt the changes and reduce the
redundancy by applying the Modification Management.
We will apply the concept of modification process models
on the ABPMN, by upgrading the requirements with the
help of addition, deletion, merging of requirements and
activities required for building the business model. Each
company has various modifications of the existing model
which produces the same result.

Consider the Case Study of Admission Process of a
University. In this student follow the following admission
process a) College_Enquiry, b) Form_fill, c) Counselling
and e) Fee_Submission. Figure 2 shows the model for the
admission process.

Now, we will apply modifications on the basic admis-
sion process model by applying the AND operation. In
this figure, Student initially fulfills the preadmission
queries, then check status –if doesn’t like the college,
switch to other college. If satisfied, then fill the form and
come for counseling on the particular day. This process
is modified by elaboration and student will report at the
document verification desk and seat availability options
discussed with the student. Once the decision for a seat
is taken, the seat allotment letter is given to student and
he will submit this letter at the fee submission desk and
registration number is generated for a particular student
as mentioned in Figure 3.

After then this modified model is decomposed and
each process is labeled properly. Figure 4 Modified Model
Decomposition

The process composition involves the following steps:-

- The Users will choose the modified recon-
  figurable model. In above figure, the modified
  process shown with blue color.
- These blue highlighted color processes can be
decomposed further.
- User will select the modified configurable model.
- To pair the modification with the previous and
  new model.

Now, final business process has the one starting and
finishing point and has no redundancy. This business
model is further elaborated and we will identify that pro-
cess is of Abstract Application Chunk (AAC), Primitive
Application Chunk (PAC) or Complex Application Chunk
(CAC). After this dependency is applied and there are two types of dependencies i.e. Urgency and Necessity. They have the notions of Immediate Must (IM), Immediate Can (IC), Deferred Must (DM), Deferred Can (DC). A graphical notation for this representation is shown in Figure 5.

![Figure 1. Meta model dependencies.](image1)

![Figure 2. Admission process model.](image2)

![Figure 3. Modified admission process model.](image3)

![Figure 4. Modified decomposition model.](image4)

### Table 1. The four dependency properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Urgency</th>
<th>Necessity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IM</td>
<td>Immediate</td>
<td>Must</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC</td>
<td>Immediate</td>
<td>Can</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>Deferred</td>
<td>Must</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC</td>
<td>Deferred</td>
<td>Can</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Process development life cycle

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Input</th>
<th>Output</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Requirement Engineering</td>
<td>Process is to match the Intention.</td>
<td>It is obtained from inner views wtc.</td>
<td>Similar Process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design Engineering</td>
<td>It deals with the architecture mapping.</td>
<td>Design of the similar processes.</td>
<td>Based on the requirement, the process is architectural to be.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>It deals with the matching of organizations.</td>
<td>Architec-turally Similar Process</td>
<td>Processes are situated.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Process Development Life Cycle

In this we will be developing the life cycle for the process. This life cycle is motivated by Table 2. The Requirement Engineering stage consists of intention matching. In this stage, the intention of the process is determined through the requirement elicitation process, which states what the process aims at achieving. Next stage is the Design Engineering Stage. The process developed in Requirement Engineering Stage will become the candidate for process development life cycle, MDLC. It considers the processes one by one. The architecture is developed and it reveals the main components and their inter-relationships comprised. The third stage is the Construction stage and it deals with the organization matching and its input is the architecture we developed and output is the situated process.

We relate the Functional Process Engineering, developed by to the Process Engineering life cycle proposed. In this life cycle, requirement engineering consists of the discovery and representations of the processes, the design phase is the architecture and the development phase is the construction. Therefore, FMS start with the architecture and located in the designs and construction engineering stages as in Table 3.

We concentrate in the design and construction of the life cycle. The graphical notation representation of the modification model of admission process with no ambiguity is prepared.

4. Conclusion

We treated the Process Development activity with PDLC. In this, we have concentrated to remove the ambiguity in the process models by applying the Modification Management. We will apply the process development life-cycle on this model subsequently and simultaneously will implement on tool.
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Table 3. Functional process engineering

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stages and Process</th>
<th>Input</th>
<th>Output</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Requirement Engineering/</td>
<td>We can take the input with the</td>
<td>Similar methods for the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intention Matching</td>
<td>help of interview.</td>
<td>methods to be.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design Engg/Architecture</td>
<td>Architectures of intentionally</td>
<td>Architecturally similar methods.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matching</td>
<td>similar methods.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Engineering/</td>
<td>Organizations of architecturally similar methods</td>
<td>Method to-be</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>