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Abstract

Objectives: To identify the black-hole attack. The Ad hoc is a self-configuring network it can be configured at anytime and anywhere without pre-defined infrastructure due to unique characteristics. Methods: Black hole is a traffic relaying attack in network layer. The intermediate node involves in forwarding a message to the destination in Multi-hop ad hoc network. These nodes will launch black hole attack to conserve its resource or to perform attacks that reduce the network performance. This attack is carried by the interposed node instead of forwarding the packets this node will carry the action of dropping packets. Findings: We can avoid this attack by using cryptography methods like symmetric encryption, asymmetric encryption and non-cryptography methods like IDS (Intrusion Detection System). This attack can also be avoided by clustering method where we can also reduce the cost. Applications: Many researchers have been carried out for the detection of black hole attack. In this paper we are going to discuss different techniques given for averting the black-hole attack.
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1. Introduction

The ad-hoc network is a network launched without any pre-defined infrastructure so that it can be configured at any point of time. The ad-hoc network is established for a short period. The node in ad-hoc network is dynamic so the ad-hoc protocols are configured such that it can adjust to any traffic and environment. Ad-hoc network is formed using multiple nodes. Since ad-hoc is made up of multiple nodes it possess many characteristics like less bandwidth, low battery, short life span, low computational power and more.

The ad-hoc network support two topologies Heterogeneous and Homogeneous. In heterogeneous topology the node connected the network have different capabilities and in homogenous topology the node connected to the have same capabilities. In an add-hoc network each and every node will act as both router and host. Each host in the network i.e., node will support peer-to-peer communications (node will directly communicate to its destination) and peer-to-remote communication (node will communicate to its destination through interposed node).

In adhoc help of the interposed node are needed to hand over the packets to destination. If the node is within the coverage area they can communicate directly if they are not in the coverage area the interposed node will be considered as a router and it will forward the packets to the destination. Since In multi hop wireless ad-hoc interposed node are needed to hand over the packets to destination it can launch packet dropping attack to conserve its resource or to perform denial-of-service.

Figure 1. Simple black-hole attack.
Wireless ad hoc network is formed using multiple nodes. Since ad hoc is made up of multiple nodes it possess many characteristics like less bandwidth, battery, lifespan and computational power because of these properties this network is sensitive to many attacks like DoS attack, misbehaving. The consequences of this attack are decadence in network communications, impassable nodes, and possible routing loops. We can overcome these attacks by using cryptography methods like symmetric encryption, asymmetric encryption and non-cryptography methods like IDS (Intrusion Detection System).

### 1.1 Black-hole attack
Black-hole attack is a passive attack it won’t modify the message and also an type of denial-of-service attack. To conserve its resource or to perform attack the black-hole attack is performed so that it reduces the network performance. The black-hole nodes assure that it has a very new and small route to destination and once the malicious path is selected that node drop the packet instead of forwarding the packet it will affect the network performance. This attack can be done particularly (Specified destination packets are discarded, a randomly selected fragments of the packets are dropped or every i packets is dropped) or each and every packet is dropped, and may have the effect of making decadence communications in the network or the destination node impassable. This attack is performed either in simple or cooperative manner.

#### 1.1.1 Simple Black-Hole Attack
The simple black hole is shown in Figure 1. This attack is carried out by an individual node in a network. Routing protocol is violated and attack is launched by a single i.e. individual node. In the diagram let the node 4 is the black-hole node. That black hole node will send a fake response to the request, when the malicious path is selected as a route that node will start dropping the packets instead of forwarding them.

#### 1.1.2 Cooperative Black-Hole Attack
In the network if more than one node performs a attack cooperatively then that black-hole attack it is known as cooperative black-hole attack. It is shown in the Figure 2. And the node which performs this attack is said to be black-hole node. In the diagram both the node 3 and 5 will combine with each other and perform the attack.

### 2. Detection techniques
In the table 1 method to avoid black hole and its drawback is explained.

In has modified the routing protocol. Using this modified routing protocol they has identify the black-hole node that is present in the network. The intermediate node is cross checked through another route i.e., when a interposed node tell that it has a route that interposed node is verified through another way this done to audit whether it has a way to destination are not. If it is a malicious node then intimation sent to all the node. The proposed method can identify the black-hole node efficiently but the main drawback of this method is the cooperative black hole attack cannot be identified i.e this method fails when two or more cooperate with each other to conduct attack.

In has used the concept of repeated next hop node information to identify the black-hole node. Message is sent once to node which send reply and wait for sometime to verify that node. Next hop information is also collected from the node. And wait for the RREP from all the nodes are collected by the source until timer expire. After that the data is sent through the repeated next hop node.

In has used a concept of Merkle tree concept i.e., the nodes are consider in the tree like structure then the verification is done to identify the black-hole attack. To identify the black-hole one way hash function is used. The one way hash function is the secure way of communication.

In has used a Hashed message authentication code (HMAC). HMAC is used for message verification.
authorization, as well as intermediate nodes authentication. Between source and destination secret key is shared and each node holds HMAC. Using this hashed message authentication we can find the Black-hole node and avoid this attack.

In proposed an intrusion detection system to identify the black-hole node. IDS will monitor the node regularly and based on their activities the it identify the malicious one. In this paper then intrusion detection and response protocol is used to identify the black hole attack. IPS is a intrusion prevention system that blocks the misbehaving node and send information to the source node.

In has used Symmetric cryptography with cyclic chain hash function (CCHF) to identify the black hole attack. Cryptography is the process of converting the message into an unreadable format. The new method is proposed for generation of ID key for authentication of node because of this method black-hole node can be identified. The drawback of this approach is Cooperative cannot be identified.

In Used the activities of nodes in network to black hole attack is identified. The judgement process is first initiated by the first reciever of the RREP packet, this node will monitor the neighbours activities and make the decision. The decision is made by following rules.

- Rule 1: If delivery of data is more then that node is honest.
- Rule 2: If data packets are not sent then the node is misbehaving.

With the help of above two rules the black-hole node is identified.

In have used the Intrusion detection system (IDS) to detect the black hole attack. IDS will monitor the node activity and identify the black-hole. Each and every

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>References</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Drawbacks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Modified routing security protocol</td>
<td>More false positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Repeated Next Hop Information</td>
<td>Computation and routing overhead is more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Used principle of Merkle tree</td>
<td>More traffic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Used Hashed message authentication code (HMAC)</td>
<td>RREP message can’t be verified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Intrusion detection and response protocol for MANET is used</td>
<td>False positive is more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>CCHF</td>
<td>Delay and complex computation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Judgement Process</td>
<td>Prediction can be wrong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Used IDS</td>
<td>Failed at cooperative black hole attack</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Triangular encryption</td>
<td>Communication overhead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Asymmetric encryption</td>
<td>Computation overhead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Identity based key management</td>
<td>Data packets are exposed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Monitored by neighbour</td>
<td>False Negative is possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>End-to-end acknowledgement</td>
<td>Communication overhead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Clustering</td>
<td>Communication complexity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Nested message authentication for secure AODV routing</td>
<td>Computation overhead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Energy based clustering</td>
<td>Communication complexity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Anamaly based IDS</td>
<td>More energy is needed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
system in the network contains IDS that monitors neighbours activity. Network and system audit data is used to detect the malicious node then the report is sent to the security management. But false positive is more i.e., it fails to identify the black-hole when mobility increases.

Black hole attack is identified using triangular encryption method. When destination receives the RREQ it encrypts the text with pre agreed partition and key, this encrypted data is sent along with the RREP. Black hole node will not have this cipher text.

In author used a group key to identify the black hole attack. This key is generated using the Diffie-Hellman (DH) method.

3. Conclusion

This paper survey provides current detection and prevention techniques of black hole. In Ad hoc network, the interposed nodes are used for communication and these nodes will launch black hole attack to conserve its resource or to perform attack that reduce the network performance. Many detection and prevention techniques have been discussed above in that they have used different methods like cryptography, IDS and clustering concept to find the black hole attack. But these methods have some disadvantages as we discussed earlier.
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